



IFW

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of:	Henry M. Hund, Jr. et al.)	Examiner:	Charles A. Fox
)		
Serial No.:	10/612,324)	Filed:	July 3, 2003
)		
For:	Refuse Collection Vehicle)	Art Unit:	3652
	Having Multiple Collection)		
	Assemblies)	Conf. No.:	4056

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

May 17, 2005

Commissioner For Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action mailed on February 25, 2005. Claims 18-30 are pending in the application. Claim 18 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,547,332 of Smith et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 3,204,789 of Riedl et al. Claims 19 and 21 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,547,332 of Smith et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 3,204,789 of Riedl et al., and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 3,952,890 of Armstrong. Claim 20 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,547,332 of Smith et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 3,204,789 of Riedl et al., and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,861,580 of Moore et al. Claims 22-24 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,547,332 of Smith et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 3,204,789 of Riedl et al. and U.S. Patent No. 3,952,890 of Armstrong, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,026,104 of Pickrell. Claims 25-30 have been allowed. Applicants offer the following remarks to address the rejections of their claims and respectfully request reconsideration of the application in view of such remarks.